SINGLE BENCH

C. S. 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH

Writ Petition No. of 2006

PETITIONER:

Arjun Singh Sisodia,
S/o Late Shri Jawahar Singh
Sisodia, Aged about 53 years,
R/o Block Colony, Bilha,
Tehsil & District: Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh.

P.R. No. 300666
Presented by Shri S.K. Sinha
dated 22/6/06

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through the Secretary,
Department of Revenue,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR
22/6/06

2. Collector, Bilaspur,
Chhattisgarh.

3. R.K. Anant,
Additional Tehsildar,
Sub Tehsil: Ganiyari,
Tehsil: Takhatpur,
District: Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTIONS ETC., IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI AND/OR ANY OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OF LIKE NATURE

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.No.2958/06 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

23.6.2006

Heard Shri P.Diwakar, Sr. Advocate with Shri Indrasen Sahu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Adv. for respondent Nos.1 & 2.

By this petition the petitioner has questioned the propriety of the order dated 08.06.2006 passed by respondent No.1 whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Bilha to Marwahi. The ground raised by the petitioner for seeking judicial review against the impugned order is the frequent transfers. It has been mentioned in the petition that the petitioner is an outstanding and meritorious person even then he has been subjected to frequent transfers i.e. from Bilha to Bilaspur, Bilaspur to Bilha and then Bilha to Marwahi within a short span of one year.

Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has already made representation in this regard and appreciating the services rendered by the petitioner the Acting Collector had recommended for cancellation of transfer of the petitioner vide letter dated 15th & 16th June, 2006. Therefore, he requested that respondent No.1 may be directed to consider the representation of petitioner sympathetically looking to the facts of the case, to which learned counsel for the State has no objection.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

41

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner in accordance with law and to pass appropriate orders within a period of 30 days after considering the points raised by the petitioner. Till then if the petitioner has not already been relieved, he should not be relieved till the representation is decided. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2261/06 & 5908/06 also stand disposed of. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Roshan/-

24.6.08 29.6.08